UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/086,748	02/28/2002	Paul K. Wolber	10020405-1	8764
7590 11/16/2007 AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. Legal Department, DL429			EXAMINER	
			ZHOU, SHUBO	
Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 7599 Loveland, CO 80537-0599		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1631	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	·		11/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	10/086,748	WOLBER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Shubo (Joe) Zhou	1631				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed rom the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Au	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 August 2007.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-8,10-12,15 and 17-20 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8,10-12,15 and 17-20</u> is/are rejected	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8,10-12,15 and 17-20</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	·				
Application Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acce	epted or b) objected to by the	ne Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	ion is required if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Off	ice Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	s have been received. s have been received in Applic tity documents have been rece	cation No				
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the second seco	` ''	nived				
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		ivea.				
Attachment(s)		•				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ	·				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	al Patent Application				

Art Unit: 1631

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's amendment and request for reconsideration filed 8/17/07 is acknowledged and the amendment is entered.

Claims 1-8, 10-12, 15, and newly added claims 17-20 are currently pending and under consideration.

Applicant's arguments in response to the previous Office action filed 8/17/07 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be fully persuasive. The following rejections and/or objections are either reiterated from the previous Office action or newly applied but necessitated by applicant's amendments, and constitute the complete set presently being applied to the instant application. Rejections and/or objections set forth in the previous Office action but not reiterated herein are hereby withdrawn.

Claim Rejections-35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 1631

Newly added claims 17-20 recite "the calibrating probes hybridize to almost all of the target molecules in sample solutions" (as in claims 17 and 19) or "the calibrating probes hybridize to all of the target molecules in sample solutions" (as in claims 18 and 20).

The specification does not provide adequate description for these new limitations, i.e. the calibrating probes hybridizing to all or almost all of the target molecules. As a matter of fact, the specification repeatedly stress that the calibrating probes hybridize to "large fractions of target molecules" first on page 16, then on page 22 and also on page 27. The term large fraction is a relative term which could include any fractions that are relatively large, such as 20%, 40%. Thus, the specification does not specifically and adequately describe that the calibrating probes hybridize to all or almost all of the target molecules. Therefore, one skilled in the relevant art would have reasonable doubt that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The following is a quotation of the **second** paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1-8, 10-12, 15, and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1 and 10 are amended to recite "calibrating probes that hybridize under stringent conditions to a large fraction of target molecules in sample solutions" in lines 3-5. The term "large fraction" is a relative term that renders the claim indefinite. The term is not defined by the

Art Unit: 1631

claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

Without such a clear standard, one skilled in the art would not know whether a particular fraction, such as 15% or 30% is a large or small fraction.

Newly added claims 17 and 19 recite "the calibrating probes hybridize to almost all of the target molecules in sample solutions." The term "almost all of the target molecules" is a relative term that renders the claim indefinite. The term is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. Without such a clear standard, one skilled in the art would not know whether a particular hybridization, such as probes having hybridized to 95% of the target molecules, should be considered as having hybridized to almost all of the target molecules.

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a).

Applicants are reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. §1.136

(a). A shortened statutory period for response to this final action is set to expire three months

from the date of this action. In the event a first response is filed within two months of the mailing

Art Unit: 1631

date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the three-month shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.136 (a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than six months from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shubo (Joe) Zhou, whose telephone number is 571-272-0724. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 8 A.M. to 4 P.M. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marjorie Moran, can be reached on 571-272-0720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as

Art Unit: 1631

general patent information available to the public. For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-786-9199.

/Shubo (Joe) Zhou/

SHUBO (JOE) ZHOU, PH.D.

PRIMARY EXAMINER